



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,736	01/21/2004	Jim Auber	NOR-1086A	3661
37172 7590 04/11/2008 WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP (NORDSON) 2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202			EXAMINER CARTAGENA, MELVIN A	
			ART UNIT 3754	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 04/11/2008	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

krooney@whepatent.com
mhines@whepatent.com
usptodock@whepatent.com

87

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/761,736	Applicant(s) AUBER ET AL.	
	Examiner MELVIN A. CARTAGENA	Art Unit 3754	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) MELVIN A. CARTAGENA. (3) KEVIN P. SHAVER.
 (2) DAVID W. DORTON. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 08 April 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 18, 19, 22, 25 and 28.


Identification of prior art discussed: US 4,200,207 and US 6,089,413.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Arguments with respect to 35 USC 112 rejections of claims 1-16 were persuasive. With respect to the USC 103 rejection, the references of Riney and Akers were discussed, the examiner's retains the position that Riney shows all claimed features except for a check valve located along the recirculation path, which is taught by Akers. Applicant's representative is to file a supplemental response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 KEVIN SHAVER
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

/M. A. C./
 Examiner, Art Unit 3754
 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.